SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EELGRASS MITIGATION POLICY

(Adopted July 31, 1991)

Eelgrass (<u>Zostera marina</u>) vegetated areas are recognized as important ecological communities in shallow bays and estuaries because of their multiple biological and physical values. Eelgrass habitat functions as an important structural environment for resident bay and estuarine species, offering both predation refuge and a food source. Eelgrass functions as a nursery area for many commercially and recreational important finfish and shellfish species, including those that are resident within bays and estuaries, as well as oceanic species that enter estuaries to breed or spawn. Eelgrass also provides a unique habitat that supports a high diversity of non-commercially important species whose ecological roles are less well understood.

Eelgrass is a major food source in nearshore marine systems, contributing to the system at multiple trophic levels. Eelgrass provides the greatest amount of primary production of any nearshore marine ecosystem, forming the base of detrital-based food webs and as well as providing a food source for organisms that feed directly on eelgrass leaves, such as migrating waterfowl. Eelgrass is also a source of secondary production, supporting epiphytic plants, animals, and microbial organisms that in turn are grazed upon by other invertebrates, larval and juvenile fish, and birds.

In addition to habitat and resource attributes, eelgrass serves beneficial physical roles in bays and estuaries. Eelgrass beds dampen wave and current action, trap suspended particulates, and reduce erosion by stabilizing the sediment. They also improve water clarity, cycle nutrients, and generate oxygen during daylight hours.

In order to standardize and maintain a consistent policy regarding mitigating adverse impacts to eelgrass resources, the following policy has been developed by the Federal and State resource agencies (National Marine Fisheries Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and the California Department of Fish and Game). While the intent of this Policy is to provide a basis for consistent recommendations for projects that may impact existing eelgrass resources, there may be circumstances (e.g., climatic events) where flexibility in the application of this Policy is warranted. As a consequence, deviations from the stated Policy may be allowed on a case-by-case basis. This policy should be cited as the Southern California Eelgrass Mitigation Policy (revision 10).

For clarity, the following definitions apply. "Project" refers to work performed on-site to accomplish the applicant's purpose. "Mitigation" refers to work performed to compensate for any adverse impacts caused by the "project". "Resource agencies" refers to National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), and the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG).

1. **Mitigation Need.** Eelgrass transplants shall be considered only after the normal provisions and policies regarding avoidance and minimization, as addressed in the Section 404 Mitigation Memorandum of Agreement between the Corps of Engineers and Environmental Protection Agency, have been pursued to the fullest extent possible prior to the development of any mitigation program. Mitigation will be required for the loss of

existing vegetated areas, loss of potential eelgrass habitat, and/or degradation of existing/potential eelgrass habitat. Mitigation for boat docks and/or related work is addressed in section 2

- 2. **Boat Docks and Related Structures**. Boat docks, ramps, gangways and similar structures should avoid eelgrass vegetated or potential eelgrass vegetated areas to the maximum extent feasible. If avoidance of eelgrass or potential eelgrass areas is infeasible, impacts should be minimized by utilizing, to the maximum extent feasible, construction materials that allow for greater light penetration (i.e., grating, translucent panels, etc.). For projects where the impact cannot be determined until after project completion (i.e., vessel shading, vessel traffic) a determination regarding the amount of mitigation shall be made based upon two annual monitoring surveys conducted during the time period of August to October which document the changes in the bed (areal extent and density) in the vicinity of the footprint of the boat dock and/or related structures. Any impacts determined by these monitoring surveys shall be mitigated per sections 3-12 of this policy. Projects subject to this section must include a statement from the applicant indicating their understanding of the potential mitigation obligation which may follow the initial two-year monitoring.
- 3. **Mitigation Map.** The project applicant shall map thoroughly the area, distribution, density and relationship to depth contours of any eelgrass beds likely to be impacted by project construction. This includes areas immediately adjacent to the project site which have the potential to be indirectly or inadvertently impacted as well as potential eelgrass habitat areas. Potential habitat is defined as areas where eelgrass would normally be expected to occur but where no vegetation currently exists. Factors to be considered in delineating potential habitat areas include appropriate circulation, light, sediment, slope, salinity, temperature, dissolved oxygen, depth, proximity to eelgrass, history of eelgrass coverage, etc.

Protocol for mapping shall consist of the following format:

1) Bounding Coordinates

Horizontal datum - Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM), NAD 83, Zone 11 is the preferred projection and datum. If another projection or datum is used, the map and spatial data must include metadata that accurately defines the projection and datum.

Vertical datum - Mean Lower Low Water (MLLW), depth in feet.

2) Units

Transects and grids in meters.

Area measurements in square meters/hectares.

3) File format

A spatial data layer compatible with readily available geographic information system software must be sent to NMFS and any other interested resource agency when the area mapped has greater than 10 square meters of eelgrass. For those areas with less than 10 square meters, a table must be

provided giving the bounding x,y coordinates of the eelgrass areas. In addition to a spatial layer or table, a hard-copy map should be included within the survey report. The projection and datum should be clearly defined in the metadata and/or an associated text file.

All mapping efforts must be completed during the active growth phase for the vegetation (typically March through October) and shall be valid for a period of 60 days with the exception of surveys completed in August - October. Surveys completed after unusual climatic events (i.e., high rainfall) may have modified requirements and surveyors should contact NMFS, CDFG, and USFWS to determine if any modifications to the standard survey procedures will be required. A survey completed in August - October shall be valid until the resumption of active growth (i.e., in most instances, March 1). After project construction, a post-project survey shall be completed within 30 days. The actual area of impact shall be determined from this survey.

- 4. **Mitigation Site.** The location of eelgrass transplant mitigation shall be in areas similar to those where the initial impact occurs. Factors such as, distance from project, depth, sediment type, distance from ocean connection, water quality, and currents are among those that should be considered in evaluating potential sites.
- 5. **Mitigation Size.** In the case of transplant mitigation activities that occur concurrent to the project that results in damage to the existing eelgrass resource, a ratio of 1.2 to 1 shall apply. That is, for each square meter adversely impacted, 1.2 square meters of new suitable habitat, vegetated with eelgrass, must be created. The rationale for this ratio is based on, 1) the time (i.e., generally three years) necessary for a mitigation site to reach full fishery utilization and 2) the need to offset any productivity losses during this recovery period within five years. An exception to the 1.2 to 1 requirement shall be allowed when the impact is temporary and the total area of impact is less than 100 square meters. Mitigation on a one-for-one basis shall be acceptable for projects that meet these requirements (see section 11 for projects impacting less than 10 square meters).

Transplant mitigation completed three years in advance of the impact (i.e., mitigation banks) will not incur the additional 20 percent requirement and, therefore, can be constructed on a one-for-one basis. However, all other annual monitoring requirements (see sections 8-9) remain the same irrespective of when the transplant is completed.

Project applicants should consider increasing the size of the required mitigation area by 20-30 percent to provide greater assurance that the success criteria, as specified in Section 10, will be met. In addition, alternative contingent mitigation must be specified, and included in any required permits, to address situation where performance standards (see section 10) are not likely to be met.

For potential eelgrass habitat, a ratio of 1 to 1 of equivalent habitat shall be created.

Degradation of existing eelgrass vegetated habitat that results in a reduction of density greater than 25 percent shall be mitigated on a one-for-one basis. For example, a 25 percent reduction in density of a 100 square meter (100 turions/meter) eelgrass bed to 75

turions/meter would require the establishment of 25 square meters of new eelgrass with a density at or greater than the pre-impact density. All other provisions of the Policy would apply.

6. **Mitigation Technique.** Techniques for the construction and planting of the eelgrass mitigation site shall be consistent with the best available technology at the time of the project. Donor material shall be taken from the area of direct impact whenever possible, but also should include a minimum of two additional distinct sites to better ensure genetic diversity of the donor plants. No more than 10 percent of an existing bed shall be harvested for transplanting purposes. Plants harvested shall be taken in a manner to thin an existing bed without leaving any noticeable bare areas. Written permission to harvest donor plants must be obtained from the California Department of Fish and Game.

Plantings should consist of bare-root bundles consisting of 8-12 individual turions. Specific spacing of transplant units shall be at the discretion of the project applicant. However, it is understood that whatever techniques are employed, they must comply with the stated requirements and criteria.

- 7. Mitigation Timing. For off-site mitigation, transplanting should be started prior to or concurrent with the initiation of in-water construction resulting in the impact to the eelgrass bed. Any off-site mitigation project which fails to initiate transplanting work within 135 days following the initiation of the in-water construction resulting in impact to the eelgrass bed will be subject to additional mitigation requirements as specified in section 8. For on-site mitigation, transplanting should be postponed when construction work is likely to impact the mitigation. However, transplanting of on-site mitigation should be started no later than 135 days after initiation of in-water construction activities. A construction schedule which includes specific starting and ending dates for all work including mitigation activities shall be provided to the resource agencies for approval at least 30 days prior to initiating in-water construction.
- 8. **Mitigation Delay.** If, according to the construction schedule or because of any delays, mitigation cannot be started within 135 days of initiating in-water construction, the eelgrass replacement mitigation obligation shall increase at a rate of seven percent for each month of delay. This increase is necessary to ensure that all productivity losses incurred during this period are sufficiently offset within five years.
- 9. **Mitigation Monitoring.** Monitoring the success of eelgrass mitigation shall be required for a period of five years for most projects. Monitoring activities shall determine the area of eelgrass and density of plants at the transplant site and shall be conducted at initial planting, 6, 12, 24, 36, 48, and 60 months after completion of the transplant. All monitoring work must be conducted during the active vegetative growth period and shall avoid the winter months of November through February. Sufficient flexibility in the scheduling of the 6 month surveys shall be allowed in order to ensure the work is completed during this active growth period. Additional monitoring beyond the 60 month period may be required in those instances where stability of the proposed transplant site is questionable or where other factors may influence the long-term success of transplant.

The monitoring of an adjacent or other acceptable control area (subject to the approval of the resource agencies) to account for any natural changes or fluctuations in bed width or density must be included as an element of the overall program.

A monitoring schedule that indicates when each of the required monitoring events will be completed shall be provided to the resource agencies prior to or concurrent with the initiation of the mitigation.

Monitoring reports shall be provided to the resource agencies within 30 days after the completion of each required monitoring period and shall include the summary sheet included at the end of this policy.

- 10. **Mitigation Success.** Criteria for determination of transplant success shall be based upon a comparison of vegetation coverage (area) and density (turions per square meter) between the **project impact area** and **mitigation site(s)**. Extent of vegetated cover is defined as that area where eelgrass is present and where gaps in coverage are less than one meter between individual turion clusters. Density of shoots is defined by the number of turions per area present in representative samples within the original impact area, control or transplant bed. Specific criteria are as follows:
 - a. the mitigation site shall achieve a minimum of 70 percent area of eelgrass and 30 percent density as compared to the original project impact area after the first year.
 - b. the mitigation site shall achieve a minimum of 85 percent area of eelgrass and 70 percent density as compared to the original project impact area after the second year.
 - c. the mitigation site shall achieve a sustained 100 percent area of eelgrass bed and at least 85 percent density as compared to the original project impact area for the third, fourth and fifth years.

Should the required eelgrass transplant fail to meet any of the established criteria, then a Supplementary Transplant Area (STA) shall be constructed, if necessary, and planted. The size of this STA shall be determined by the following formula:

$$STA = MTA \times (|A_t + D_t| - |A_c + D_c|)$$

MTA = mitigation transplant area.

 A_t = transplant deficiency or excess in area of coverage criterion (%).

 D_t = transplant deficiency in density criterion (%).

 A_c = natural decline in area of control (%).

 D_c = natural decline in density of control (%).

The STA formula shall be applied to actions that result in the degradation of habitat (i.e., either loss of areal extent or reduction in density).

Five conditions apply:

- 1) For years 2-5, an excess of only up to 30% in area of coverage over the stated criterion with a density of at least 60% as compared to the project area may be used to offset any deficiencies in the density criterion.
- 2) Only excesses in area criterion equal to or less than the deficiencies in density shall be entered into the STA formula.
- 3) Densities which exceed any of the stated criteria shall not be used to offset any deficiencies in area of coverage.
- 4) Any required STA must be initiated within 120 days following the monitoring event that identifies a deficiency in meeting the success criteria. Any delays beyond 120 days in the implementation of the STA shall be subject to the penalties as described in Section 8.
- 5) Annual monitoring will be required of the STA for five years following the implementation and all performance standards apply to the STA.
- 11. **Mitigation Bank.** Any mitigation transplant success that, after five years, exceeds the mitigation requirements, as defined in section 10, may be considered as credit in a "mitigation bank". Establishment of any "mitigation bank" and use of any credits accrued from such a bank must be with the approval of the resource agencies and be consistent with the provisions stated in this policy. Monitoring of any approved mitigation bank shall be conducted on an annual basis until all credits are exhausted.

12. Exclusions.

- 1) Placement of a single pipeline, cable, or other similar utility line across an existing eelgrass bed with an impact corridor of no more than 1 meter wide may be excluded from the provisions of this policy with concurrence of the resource agencies. After project construction, a post-project survey shall be completed within 30 days and the results shall be sent to the resource agencies. The actual area of impact shall be determined from this survey. An additional survey shall be completed after 12 months to insure that the project or impacts attributable to the project have not exceeded the allowed 1 meter corridor width. Should the post-project or 12 month survey demonstrate a loss of eelgrass greater than the 1 meter wide corridor, then mitigation pursuant to sections 1-11 of this policy shall be required.
- 2) Projects impacting less than 10 square meters. For these projects, an exemption may be requested by a project applicant from the mitigation requirements as stated in this policy, provided suitable out-of-kind mitigation is proposed. A case-by-case evaluation and determination regarding the applicability of the requested exemption shall be made by the resource agencies.

(last revised 01/18/05)

Southern California Eelgrass Mitigation Policy Monitoring and Compliance Reporting Summary

PERMIT DATA:

Permit (Type, Number)	Issuance Date	Expiration Date	Agency Contact
ACOE:			
CDP:			
Other:			

EELGRASS IMPACT AND MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS SUMMARY:

EEEGINISS IN HET HITS MITTORITION REQUIREMENTS SEMMINIT.		
Permitted Eelgrass Impact Estimate	(m^2)	
Actual Eelgrass Impact,	(m^2)	(post-const. survey date)
Eelgrass Mitigation Requirement	(m^2)	(mitigation plan ref.)
Impact Site Location		(location)
Impact Site Center Coordinates		(define projection and datum)
Mitigation Site Location		(location)
Mitigation Site Center Coordinates		(define projection and datum)

PERMITTEE CONTACT INFORMATION:

I EIGHT I EE COTTING I	111 01111111111
Project Name	
	(same as permit ref.)
Permittee Information	(permittee name)
	(mailing address)
	(city, state, zip)
	(permittee contact)
	(phone, fax., e-mail)
Mitigation Consultant	(consultant contact)
	(phone, fax., e-mail)

PROJECT ACTIVITY DATA:

Activity	Start Date	End Date	Reference Info.
Eelgrass Impact			
Installation of Eelgrass Mitigation			
Initiation of Mitigation Monitoring			

MITIGATION STATUS DATA:

Mitigation Milestone	Scheduled Survey	Survey Date	Area (m ²)	Density (turions/m²)	Reference Info.
Requirement					
0-month					
6-month					
12-month					
24-month					
36-month					
48-month					
60-month					

FINAL ASSESSMENT:

Was mitigation met?	
Were mitigation and monitoring performed timely?	
Was delay penalty required or were supplemental mitigation programs necessary?	